

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,904	JONES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Carl B. Massey, Jr..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed replacing the trademark Sepharose with its definition in the claims. Carl B. Massey, Jr. then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)